

M e m o r a n d u m

Date: March 9, 2009

To: All Uniform Personnel
Capistrano Area

From: **DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL**
Capistrano Area

File No.: 690.11756.10518

Subject: CATEGORY 10 INSPECTION

There is a Category 10 Uniform Inspection scheduled for the April 30th and May 14th 2009, Area Training days. The following is a list of items that shall be worn and needed:

- Clean uniform shirt (long sleeve / current years for service stripes / blue tie) and trousers
- Trouser belt
- Black boots or shoes
- Campaign hat (felt) with cap piece
- Badge
- CHP identification card and valid CDL in front pocket of pants (weapon side)
- Sam / Sally Browne Duty belt
- Primary weapon (unloaded at time of inspection with extra round and magazine from weapon in pocket opposite gun side, and clean)
- Magazine pouch (with magazines in pouch)
- Baton ring
- Handcuffs with key
- O.C. spray (expiration date unobstructed)
- Name plate / enforcement safety emblem
- Watch
- Pen (black ink) and/or Pencil
- Whistle (brass or black plastic; in same pocket with CHP I.D. card & CDL)
- Shooting pin / medals

All Uniform Personnel

Page 2

March 9, 2009

Uniforms shall be neat and clean in appearance. Footwear, brass and leather gear shall be polished and without defects. Hair shall be groomed according to policy.

Please see Officer Varela for any equipment replacement prior to inspection dates and please be prepared!

 S. Houston

S.HOUSTON, Captain

Commander

Capistrano Area

M e m o r a n d u m

Date: August 22, 2008

To: San Diego Area

From: **DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL**
San Diego Area

File No.: 645.08.11833

Subject: HPG 22.1 CHAPTER 10 FORMAL INSPECTION OF UNIFORMED
PERSONNEL

On July 24, 2008, at 0800 hours, during a scheduled Area training day, a formal inspection was conducted within the scope of HPG 22.1, Chapter 10. All uniformed personnel that attended the training day were inspected by Border Division Assistant Chief Steve Lykins and the San Diego Area Commander, Captain Cary McGagin. They were accompanied by Sergeant Lynn Guzman.

There were no discrepancies noted that were not corrected on-the-spot during the inspection. Twenty-seven uniformed personnel were inspected. The following officers were noted by the Assistant Chief as presenting an exceptionally professional appearance and/or outstanding cleanliness or shine of their weapon, brass or boots:

~~_____ #11833~~
~~_____ #15454~~

~~_____ #15270~~
~~_____ #15170~~

~~_____ #10814~~

The Assistant Chief and Area Commander offered their personal comments and commendations to the squad. The formation was subsequently dismissed.


R. L. GUZMAN, #11833
Sergeant

Safety, Service, and Security

M e m o r a n d u m

Date: October 8, 2009

To: San Diego Area

From: **DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL**
San Diego Area

File No.: 645.13130.14700

Subject: HPG 22.1 CHAPTER 10 FORMAL INSPECTION OF UNIFORMED
PERSONNEL – September 23, 2009

On September 23, 2009, at 0800 hours during a scheduled Area training day, a formal inspection was performed within the scope of HPG 22.1, Chapter 10. All uniformed personnel attending the training day were inspected by the Border Division Chief Gary Dominguez, Assistant Chief Steve Beeuwsaert, Assistant Chief Steve Lykins, and the San Diego Area Commander, Captain Rich Stewart. They were accompanied by Sergeant Tommie Cocroft, Sergeant Cory Ball, and Sergeant Fred Hartman.

There were no discrepancies noted that were not corrected on-the-spot during the inspection. One hundred five uniformed officers were inspected. Thirty three officers were noted by management as presenting an exceptionally professional appearance.

The Chief, Asst. Chiefs and Area Commander offered their personal comments and commendations to the squad. The formation was subsequently dismissed.


TOMMIE COCROFT, #14700
Sergeant

**AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION
UNIFORM AND EQUIPMENT INSPECTION**

CHP 453K (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

AREA 645	DIVISION Border	NUMBER
EVALUATED BY Chief Gary Dominguez		DATE 09/23/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Informal Evaluation		SUSPENSE DATE	
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		<input type="checkbox"/> Correction Report BY _____	COMMANDER'S REVIEW  DATE 10/21/09

1. PERSONNEL INSPECTION

EVALUATED 9/23/2009	ACTION REQUIRED NONE	CORRECTED NONE
------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------

- a. Do employees maintain a high standard of appearance? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- b. Conduct a formal inspection (Annex A).

- (1) Are officers familiar with the positions of attention, parade rest, and the execution of inspection arms? ☒ Yes ☐ No

2. WEAPONS INSPECTION

EVALUATED 9/23/2009	ACTION REQUIRED NONE	CORRECTED NONE
------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------

- a. Are primary weapons inspected annually? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- (1) Are deficiencies corrected within 30 days? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- (2) Are temporary replacement weapons readily available from Division? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- (3) Are all weapons listed on CHP 4, Firearms Report, or CHP 81, Receipt of State Property? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- b. Is there a record maintained on employees authorized to carry secondary weapons? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- (1) Are weapons inspected on initial approval to carry, and annually on CHP 311, Annual Safety and Protection Equipment Inspections? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- c. Are serial numbers physically verified by the commander or his/her designee every year? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- (1) When was the last audit conducted? April 2009

- (2) Was the printout returned to the Academy Weapons Control Unit by April 30 of the inventoried year? ☒ Yes ☐ No